



COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE ADJECTIVE IN UZBEK, RUSSIAN, TURKISH, ENGLISH, AND GERMAN LANGUAGES

Nasirova Xurshedabonu Sharof qizi

Student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

Faculty of English Philology and Translation Studies

E-mail: nasirovaxurshedabonu5@gmail.com

Abstract: This research presents a comparative linguistic analysis of adjectives in five languages: Uzbek, Russian, Turkish, English, and German. The study aims to identify similarities and differences in the morphological, syntactic, and semantic functions of adjectives across these languages, which belong to different language families. Using descriptive, contrastive, and typological methods, the analysis explores adjective formation, degree of comparison, agreement, and syntactic position. Findings show that while Uzbek and Turkish adjectives share agglutinative and suffix-based structures typical of Turkic languages, Russian and German rely on inflection and agreement, whereas English demonstrates a predominantly analytic system. The results highlight the influence of linguistic typology and historical development on adjective structure and usage, providing insights into cross-linguistic understanding and translation equivalence.

Keywords: adjective, comparative linguistics, typology, morphology, syntax, Uzbek.

Introduction

Adjectives play a crucial role in language systems by expressing qualities, states, and attributes of nouns. Their grammatical and semantic behavior provides significant insight into the typological and structural properties of languages. Comparative linguistic research on adjectives across languages from different families, Turkic (Uzbek, Turkish), Slavic (Russian), and Germanic (English, German), offers valuable understanding of universal and language-specific patterns of word formation and syntax (Haspelmath, 2010). Uzbek and Turkish belong to the Turkic family and share many typological features, including agglutination and postpositional structures. Russian, as a Slavic language, demonstrates a fusional morphology with complex inflectional paradigms. English and German, representing the Germanic branch of the Indo-European family, exhibit varying levels of inflection, with English being more analytic and German more synthetic.

The aim of this paper is to conduct a comparative analysis of the adjective category in these five languages, focusing on their morphological formation,



degrees of comparison, syntactic roles, and agreement patterns. By identifying similarities and differences, the study contributes to typological linguistics and to applied fields such as translation studies and language teaching.

Methodology

This study employs a comparative-descriptive method, combining qualitative analysis with typological comparison. The data were drawn from descriptive grammars, linguistic corpora, and academic studies on the target languages. Examples were selected from standard grammar references and authentic language corpora such as the Uzbek National Corpus, Russian National Corpus, British National Corpus, and DWDS German Corpus. Representative adjectives were analyzed in terms of formation, comparison, and syntactic placement.

Results and Discussion

Morphological Formation: Adjective formation differs significantly across the languages studied. In Uzbek, adjectives are typically root-based and may be formed through suffixation, e.g., *go‘zal* (“beautiful”), *oq* (“white”), *ishchan* (“hardworking”). Derivational suffixes such as *-li* (having), *-siz* (without), and *-ona* (like) are productive, e.g., *ko‘ngilli* (“cheerful”), *mazmunsiz* (“meaningless”), *bolalarcha* (“childish”). Turkish shares similar agglutinative patterns: *güzel* (“beautiful”), *çalışkan* (“hardworking”), *renksiz* (“colorless”). The productivity of suffixes like *-li* and *-siz* parallels Uzbek structures (Johanson & Csató, 2021). Russian adjectives, on the other hand, show inflection for gender, number, and case: *красивый* (masc.), *красивая* (fem.), *красивое* (neut.). Adjectival endings vary according to the syntactic role and case agreement with the noun. In German, adjectives also inflect for case, gender, and number, with three declension types (strong, weak, mixed): *ein schöner Tag* (“a beautiful day”), *die schöne Frau* (“the beautiful woman”). English adjectives are mostly invariable, with no gender or number inflection, e.g., *a beautiful day/beautiful day*. Morphological formation relies on derivational suffixes such as *-ful*, *-less*, *-ish*, and *-ous* (e.g., *helpful*, *careless*, *childish*, *famous*). Thus, the morphological complexity increases from analytic (English) to agglutinative (Uzbek, Turkish) and fusional systems (Russian, German).

Degrees of Comparison: All five languages express three degrees of comparison—positive, comparative, and superlative—but through different morphological or analytic means.

Language	Comparative	Superlative	Example
<i>Uzbek</i>	suffix <i>-roq</i>	particle <i>eng</i>	<i>katta</i> , <i>kattaroq</i> , <i>eng</i> <i>katta</i>



Turkish	suffix -daha	en	büyük, daha büyük, en büyük
Russian	suffix -ee / -ей or analytic более	самый	красивый, красивее, самый красивый
English	suffix -er / more	-est / most	beautiful, more beautiful, most beautiful
German	suffix -er + am	am -sten	schön, schöner, am schönsten

The typological similarity between Uzbek and Turkish is notable: both use particles rather than inflectional changes. In English and German, remnants of synthetic comparison exist (smaller / am schönsten), while Russian exhibits both analytic and synthetic methods, a result of its Slavic morphology (Corbett, 2006).

Syntactic Functions: Across the languages, adjectives appear mainly in attributive and predicative positions. Attributive use: precedes or follows nouns depending on syntax.

English/German: a red car / ein rotes Auto

Russian: красная машина

Uzbek/Turkish: qizil mashina / kırmızı araba

Predicative use: connected by copular verbs.

English: The car is red.

Russian: Машина красная.

Uzbek: Mashina qizil.

While English and Russian require a copular verb in formal contexts, Uzbek and Turkish can omit the copula in the present tense, which is typical of Turkic syntax.

Agreement and Case Marking: Russian and German exhibit rich adjective–noun agreement systems involving gender, number, and case. For instance:

Russian: новый дом (nom. masc. sing.), нового дома (gen. masc. sing.)

German: ein neuer Tag, des neuen Tages.

Uzbek and Turkish, being agglutinative and gender-neutral, do not require agreement; the adjective remains invariable. English similarly lacks grammatical gender agreement. This comparison reveals a continuum:

No agreement: Uzbek, Turkish, English

Moderate agreement: German



Strong agreement: Russian

Conclusion

This comparative analysis highlights both typological and functional variation in the adjective systems of Uzbek, Russian, Turkish, English, and German. Despite universal grammatical roles, adjectives display significant morphological diversity. Agglutinative languages like Uzbek and Turkish favor derivational suffixation and analytic comparison, while Russian and German maintain fusional inflection and complex agreement paradigms. English demonstrates simplification and analytic tendencies, reflecting broader trends in its morphological evolution. Understanding these cross-linguistic patterns has pedagogical and translational value, especially for learners navigating between languages of different types. Further research may expand this comparison to include semantic classification of adjectives and their syntactic behavior in complex sentences.

REFERENCES

1. Comrie, B. (2008). *Language Universals and Linguistic Typology: Syntax and Morphology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. — 352 b.
2. Corbett, G. G. (2006). *Agreement*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. — 355 b.
4. Haspelmath, M. (2010). Comparative Concepts and Descriptive Categories in Crosslinguistic Studies. *Language*, 86(3), 663–687. — 25 b.
5. Johanson, L., & Csató, É. A. (2021). *The Turkic Languages*. London: Routledge. — 640 b.
6. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (2012). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman. — 1779 b.