



REALIZATION OF TEMPORAL AND ASPECTUAL SEMANTICS IN NARRATIVE STRUCTURES: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ENGLISH AND UZBEK

G.N.Berdiyeva

PhD, English Teacher

Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

Salbina Khalmuratovna Arysbaeva

*Master of Pedagogical Sciences, Lecturer at the M. Auezov South Kazakhstan
University*

E-mail: Salbina.asrybaeva@mail.ru

Abstract: This article investigates the realization of temporal and aspectual semantics in narrative structures through a comparative analysis of English and Uzbek. The study focuses on the organization of events in narrative discourse, the internal development of actions, and the role of tense and aspect categories in shaping textual meaning. Particular attention is paid to the ways in which temporal relations and aspectual distinctions contribute to the coherence and communicative effectiveness of narrative texts. Similarities and differences between the grammatical aspect system of English and the predominantly analytical aspectual means of Uzbek are examined through illustrative examples. The findings demonstrate that temporal and aspectual semantics are fundamental components of narrative organization and play a crucial role in ensuring textual integrity and logical consistency.

Keywords: narrative discourse, temporal semantics, aspectual semantics, tense category, aspect category.

Human cognition perceives reality within the dimensions of time and space. Consequently, the category of time occupies a central position in every language system. As language reflects objective reality, it places events and situations within specific temporal frameworks and represents their developmental characteristics. In narrative texts, especially, temporal relations and the internal structure of actions become significant semantic factors. Narrative discourse emerged from the human need to organize and communicate experiences through sequences of events. Every story, legend, historical account, or literary work is based on the presentation of events occurring over a certain period of time and connected through various temporal relations. Therefore, temporal and aspectual semantics occupy a central position in narrative organization and play a decisive role in constructing



textual meaning. In linguistics, temporal semantics is generally understood as the semantic category that expresses temporal relations among events. It indicates when an event takes place, how it relates temporally to other events, and how it is connected to the moment of speech. Aspectual semantics, by contrast, concerns the internal temporal structure of an event, including its duration, completion, repetition, continuity, or resultative nature. Although temporal and aspectual meanings are closely interconnected, they perform different semantic functions. Temporal semantics primarily deals with the external temporal location of events, whereas aspectual semantics describes the internal development and structure of actions and processes. Modern linguistic research has increasingly focused on temporality and aspectuality in narrative discourse. This growing interest stems from the recognition that time and aspect are among the most important mechanisms for organizing events in discourse. The coherence of a narrative largely depends on the proper temporal arrangement of events and the accurate representation of their progression. Comparative studies of English and Uzbek reveal significant typological differences in the expression of these categories, making them particularly valuable objects of linguistic investigation.

From a theoretical perspective, the distinction between tense and aspect has been widely discussed in contemporary linguistics. Reichenbach's model of temporal relations introduced the concepts of speech time, event time, and reference time, providing an influential framework for understanding tense systems. Comrie later defined tense as the grammatical expression of temporal location and aspect as the grammatical expression of the internal temporal structure of events. Klein further expanded the study of temporality by emphasizing the role of temporal intervals in linguistic representation. These theoretical approaches demonstrate that temporal and aspectual categories are essential for understanding how languages conceptualize and represent reality. Temporal semantics performs a crucial function in narrative discourse by organizing events into meaningful sequences. Readers and listeners interpret narratives by constructing mental timelines along which events are arranged. Consequently, authors employ various linguistic devices to establish temporal relations and guide interpretation. In English, temporal meanings are primarily expressed through grammatical tense forms. For example, in the sentence "He entered the room and sat near the window," the use of the Past Simple tense signals a sequence of completed events. The first action, entering the room, precedes the second action, sitting near the window. In Uzbek, the same meaning is conveyed by the sentence "U xonaga kirdi va deraza yoniga o'tirdi." Although both languages express chronological progression, English relies more heavily on grammatical tense marking, whereas Uzbek often



combines grammatical forms with contextual interpretation. One of the primary functions of temporal semantics in narrative discourse is the expression of temporal relations between events. English frequently employs the Past Perfect tense to indicate that one event occurred before another. For instance, in the sentence “When she arrived, he had already left,” two separate events are presented. The action of leaving precedes the action of arriving, and this temporal relationship is expressed through the Past Perfect form “had left.” In Uzbek, a similar meaning is conveyed through the construction “U kelganida, u allaqachon ketib bo‘lgan edi.” Here, the analytical form “bo‘lgan edi” expresses both anteriority and resultative meaning. Such constructions demonstrate how different languages employ distinct grammatical resources to represent the same temporal relationships. Narrative discourse does not always present events in a strictly chronological order. Authors frequently use retrospective and prospective techniques to enrich narrative structure and provide additional information. Retrospective narration, commonly known as flashback, allows the narrator to return to earlier events and thereby deepen the reader’s understanding of characters and situations. For example, the sentence “Ten years earlier, he had lived in the same village” shifts the narrative perspective to an earlier point in time. The Uzbek equivalent, “Bundan o‘n yil oldin u shu qishloqda yashagan edi,” performs the same function. Such temporal shifts increase the complexity of narrative structure and strengthen semantic links between events. Contemporary narratology distinguishes between story time, narrative time, and discourse time, emphasizing that the order in which events are narrated may differ significantly from their chronological sequence. While temporal semantics establishes the location of events on a timeline, aspectual semantics reveals how those events unfold internally. Aspect is generally defined as the linguistic category that represents whether an event is viewed as ongoing, completed, repetitive, habitual, or resultative. Aspectual distinctions influence how readers perceive the dynamics of actions and processes and therefore play a significant role in narrative interpretation. English possesses a highly developed grammatical aspect system. Continuous and Perfect forms allow speakers to represent events from different perspectives. Consider the sentence “She was reading a book when I entered the room.” Here, two distinct aspectual situations are represented. The action of reading is presented as an ongoing process through the Past Continuous form “was reading,” whereas the action of entering is presented as a completed event through the Past Simple form “entered.” In Uzbek, the same meaning is expressed by the sentence “Men xonaga kirganimda u kitob o‘qib o‘tirgan edi.” The analytical construction “o‘qib o‘tirgan edi” conveys the meaning of continuity and ongoing activity. This example illustrates how different



linguistic systems may encode similar aspectual meanings through different grammatical mechanisms.

Aspectual semantics also contributes significantly to narrative dynamics. Continuous forms often serve to create background descriptions, establish atmosphere, and provide contextual information. For example, in the sequence “The rain was falling heavily. People were running through the streets,” the Continuous forms create a dynamic background scene. The subsequent sentence “Suddenly, a loud explosion shook the city” introduces a foreground event that interrupts the ongoing activities. In Uzbek, the same contrast can be observed in the sentences “Yomg‘ir qattiq yog‘ayotgan edi. Odamlar ko‘chalarda yugurib yurishardi. Birdan kuchli portlash ovozi eshitildi.” Through this interaction of background and foreground events, aspectual distinctions contribute to narrative tension and dramatic effect. A comparative analysis of English and Uzbek reveals important typological differences in the expression of aspectual meanings. In English, aspect has become a grammaticalized category represented through systematic verbal constructions such as “is reading,” “was working,” “has finished,” and “had completed.” These forms clearly distinguish between ongoing, completed, and resultative actions. Uzbek, by contrast, tends to express aspectual meanings through analytical constructions involving auxiliary verbs, participles, and converbs. Expressions such as “o‘qib turibdi,” “ishlab yuribdi,” “tugatib bo‘lgan,” and “yozib qo‘ygan” convey various aspectual nuances including continuity, habituality, completion, and resultative meaning. This characteristic reflects the agglutinative nature of the Uzbek language and its preference for analytical expression. Despite these differences, both languages employ temporal and aspectual mechanisms to achieve similar communicative goals. They organize events, establish logical relations, and guide the interpretation of narratives. Temporal and aspectual semantics therefore function as universal components of narrative discourse, although their linguistic realization varies across languages. The interaction between temporal and aspectual semantics is particularly important for maintaining textual coherence. If temporal relations are not clearly expressed, readers may struggle to reconstruct the sequence of events. Similarly, inappropriate aspectual choices can obscure the developmental structure of actions and weaken narrative consistency. Temporal and aspectual categories thus serve as essential instruments for establishing cause-and-effect relationships, maintaining logical progression, and ensuring the communicative effectiveness of narrative texts.

From a cognitive perspective, temporal and aspectual semantics reflect fundamental mechanisms of human perception. People not only locate events in time but also observe how they develop, continue, repeat, or reach



completion. The existence of temporal and aspectual categories in all languages suggests their universal cognitive significance. However, the linguistic means used to express these categories vary according to the grammatical and typological characteristics of individual languages. The comparison of English and Uzbek illustrates both the universality of temporal cognition and the diversity of linguistic representation.

In conclusion, temporal and aspectual semantics constitute fundamental components of narrative structures in both English and Uzbek. Temporal semantics organizes events according to their chronological relations, while aspectual semantics represents the internal development of actions and processes. English primarily expresses these meanings through grammatical tense and aspect forms, whereas Uzbek relies more extensively on auxiliary verbs, participial constructions, converb forms, and contextual mechanisms. Despite their structural differences, both languages employ temporal and aspectual resources to ensure narrative coherence, logical continuity, and communicative effectiveness. The findings of this study may contribute to further research in comparative linguistics, narrative studies, discourse analysis, cognitive semantics, translation theory, and cross-cultural communication.

REFERENCES

1. Comrie, B. (1976). *Aspect: An Introduction to the Study of Verbal Aspect and Related Problems*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
2. Comrie, B. (1985). *Tense*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
3. Klein, W. (1994). *Time in Language*. London: Routledge.
4. Reichenbach, H. (1947). *Elements of Symbolic Logic*. New York: Macmillan.
5. Labov, W. (1972). *Language in the Inner City*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania Press.
6. To'xtasinov, I. (2020). *Discourse Linguistics*. Tashkent.
7. Mahmudov, N. (2018). *Theoretical Grammar of the Uzbek Language*. Tashkent.
8. Nuritdinovna, S. A. S. (2026). Features of Translation of Agentive Units in English to Uzbek. *Spanish Journal of Innovation and Integrity*, 55, 14-17.
9. Said-Akhmedova, S. (2026). FEATURES OF TRANSLATION OF AGENTIVE UNITS IN ENGLISH TO UZBEK. *Asian journal of scientific research and innovations*, 5(1), 242-246.
10. Said-Akhmedova, S. (2026). FUNCTIONAL ROLE OF AGENTIVE SYNTAXEMES IN SENTENCE SEMANTICS. *Asian journal of technology and applied sciences*, 5(1), 59-62.



11. Nuritdinovna, S. A. S. (2026). INGLIZ VA O‘ZBEK TILLARIDAGI AGENTIV KONSTRUKTSIYALARNING UMUMIY GRAMMATIK TAVSIFI. *Инновационные методики в обучении иностранным языкам: глобальные тенденции и опыт университетов шёлкового пути*, 1, 45-49.
12. Said-Akhmedova Shokhista Nuritdinovna (2026). AGENTIV SINTAKSEMALARINING TILSHUNOSLIKDAGI O‘RNI VA DOLZARBLIGI. *Инновационные методики в обучении иностранным языкам: глобальные тенденции и опыт университетов шёлкового пути*, 1, 50-54.
13. Karbozova, G., & Ochilova, M. (2026). PRAGMATIC COMPETENCE IN TEACHER–STUDENT POWER RELATIONS // *TRANSFORMING EDUCATION THROUGH SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY*. - 2026. P. 15-19.
14. Doniyorovna, O.M., 2025, December. LINGUISTIC INTERFERENCE IN ENGLISH TEACHING TO UZBEK-SPEAKING LEARNERS AND STRATEGIES FOR ITS ELIMINATION // *CONFERENCE OF MODERN SCIENCE & PEDAGOGY*. – 2025. - Vol. 1, No. 8, P. 526-530.
15. Ochilova M.D. Lost words: extinction and endangerment of minority languages in the globalization process // *Science and innovation*. – 2024. – Vol. 3, Special Issue 19. – P. 107–109.