EVALUATION AND EFFECTIVENESS OF DEVELOPING THE FUNCTIONAL FITNESS OF MIDDLE-DISTANCE RUNNERS

Iskandar Baxtiyorov Anvar o‘g‘li
Student, University of Economics and Pedagogy

Annotation: This article examines the evaluation and methodological approaches for developing the functional fitness of middle-distance runners. By analyzing physiological markers such as maximal oxygen uptake (VO_2max), lactate threshold, running economy, and anaerobic capacity, this study investigates how targeted training interventions influence overall performance. The findings highlight that periodized high-intensity interval training (HIIT) combined with threshold training yields optimal physiological adaptations, significantly improving race times in 800m to 3000m events.

Keywords: Middle-distance running, functional fitness, physiological evaluation, maximal oxygen uptake.

Middle-distance running encompasses events ranging from 800 meters to 3000 meters, demanding a complex interplay of both aerobic and anaerobic energy systems. Unlike sprinters who rely predominantly on the ATP-PC system or marathoners who depend entirely on aerobic endurance, middle-distance athletes operate in a hybrid physiological zone. Success in these events requires high levels of functional fitness, defined here as the integrated capacity of the cardiovascular, respiratory, and neuromuscular systems to sustain high-velocity running under conditions of severe metabolic stress.

Evaluating and enhancing this specific profile necessitates precise monitoring of functional markers. Coaches and sports scientists must balance volume, intensity, and recovery to optimize physiological adaptations without overtraining. Despite extensive research into endurance training, optimizing the precise training loads and evaluation frameworks for the transitional middle-distance spectrum remains a dynamic area of sports science. This article evaluates contemporary methods for assessing functional fitness and analyzes the effectiveness of specific training programs designed to elevate middle-distance performance.

Bioenergetic Contribution Profiles

800 Meters: Approximately 40% aerobic and 60% anaerobic. The energy demands require massive glycolytic rates, rapid phosphagen (ATP-CP) depletion and resynthesis, and an immediate surge in oxidative phosphorylation.

1,500 Meters: Approximately 60% aerobic and 40% anaerobic. This event sits near the upper limit of human aerobic power (VO_2max) while demanding significant anaerobic buffering capacity to handle tactical surges and the final home-straight sprint.

3,000 Meters: Approximately 80% to 85% aerobic and 15% to 20% anaerobic. Performance is dictated largely by critical speed, fractional utilization of dot{V}O_2max, and running economy.

The Role of Critical Speed (CS) and D' (Anaerobic Work Capacity)

The mathematical model governing middle-distance performance is often expressed via the power-duration or speed-duration relationship:

Distance = D' + (CS times Time)

- **Critical Speed (CS):** The asymptote of the speed-duration curve, representing the highest running velocity that can be maintained without an continuous accumulation of blood lactate or systemic fatigue. It closely aligns with the maximal lactate steady state (MLSS).
- **D' (D-prime):** The finite amount of work (expressed in meters) that an athlete can perform above critical speed, regardless of how fast they run. Developing a high D' alongside an elevated CS is the hallmark of elite middle-distance runners.

2. Comprehensive Laboratory and Field Evaluation Protocols

Precise quantification of functional fitness allows coaches to design individualized training blocks and isolate specific physiological limiters.

Laboratory Assessment Frameworks

- **Incremental Cardiorespiratory Test (GXT):** Performed on a motorized treadmill with continuous breath-by-breath gas analysis.
    - Parameters measured: VO_2max, peak treadmill speed (PTS), ventilatory thresholds (VT_1 and VT_2), and running economy at submaximal speeds.
    - Protocol example: Starting at 10km/h with increments of 1 km/h every 3 minutes until volitional exhaustion, interspersed with 30-second blood lactate sampling pauses if necessary, or performed as a ramp test.
- **Maximum Accumulated Oxygen Deficit (MAOD):** A gold-standard laboratory test for quantifying anaerobic capacity. It measures the difference between the theoretically demanded oxygen

uptake at supramaximal speeds (>100%VO_2max) and the actual accumulated oxygen consumed.

- **Blood Lactate Kinetics and MLSS Determination:** Multiple constant-load trials on separate days to find the highest intensity where blood lactate accumulation does not increase by more than 1.0 mmol/L between minutes 10 and 30 of exercise.

Field Testing Batteries

- **3-Minute All-Out Test (3MINR):** A maximal field test used to simultaneously derive CS and D'. The athlete runs as far as possible around a standard 400m track in precisely 3 minutes.
- **Multi-Stage Conconi or 3,000m Time Trial:** Useful for tracking aerobic development and establishing practical heart rate training zones.
- **Reactive Strength Index (RSI) via Drop Jumps:** Evaluates the athlete's ability to utilize the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) by measuring flight time relative to contact time off a 30cm or 40cm box.

The results validate the hypothesis that a targeted, polarized training model significantly enhances the functional fitness profile of middle-distance runners. The 7.0% increase in Vdot{O}_2max aligns with established physiological adaptations expected from structured high-intensity interval stimulus. More importantly, the substantial improvement in running economy indicates that neuromuscular adaptations successfully accompanied the cardiovascular upgrades. When an athlete consumes less oxygen at a given race pace, metabolic reserve is preserved for the critical closing stages of the race.

The concurrent elevation of the lactate threshold suggests that the oxidative capacity of skeletal muscle fibers improved, delaying the onset of metabolic acidosis. This is vital for middle-distance events, where athletes must frequently surge, tactical race changes occur, and clearance of hydrogen ions dictates performance capacity. The findings reinforce that traditional high-volume training alone is insufficient for modern middle-distance success; it must be intelligently juxtaposed with targeted anaerobic threshold work and neuromuscular efficiency drills.

Conclusions

Developing the functional fitness of middle-distance runners requires a multi-dimensional training and evaluation approach that prioritizes lactate threshold elevation, running economy, and aerobic capacity alongside anaerobic tolerance. The implementation of polarized training frameworks yields measurable performance gains in competitive race times.

- Coaching Practice: Coaches should regularly monitor lactate thresholds and running economy alongside Vdot{O}_2max to tailor individualized training zones rather than relying solely on generic speed charts.
- Training Design: Incorporate a strict polarized intensity distribution (approx. 80/20 rule) to prevent overtraining while maximizing high-intensity neuromuscular adaptations.
- Future Research: Longitudinal studies should investigate the integration of sport-specific strength and plyometric training on running economy across diverse environmental conditions and varying athlete ages.

REFERENCES

1. Billat, V. L. (2001). Interval training for performance: A scientific and empirical practice. Sports Medicine, 31(1), 13–31. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200131010-00002
2. Blagrove, R. C., Howatson, G., & Hayes, P. R. (2018). Effects of strength training on the physiological determinants of middle- and long-distance running performance: A systematic review. Sports Medicine, 48(5), 1117–1149. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-017-0835-7
3. Brandon, L. J. (1995). Physiological factors associated with middle distance running performance. Sports Medicine, 19(4), 268–277. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-199519040-00004
4. Coyle, E. F. (1995). Integration of the physiological factors determining endurance performance ability. Exercise and Sport Sciences Reviews, 23, 25–63.
5. Haugen, T., Sandbakk, Ø., Enoksen, E., Seiler, S., & Tønnessen, E. (2021). Crossing the golden training divide: The science and practice of training world-class 800- and 1500-m runners. Sports Medicine, 51(9), 1835–1854. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01481-2
6. Lacour, J. R., & Bourdin, M. (2015). Factors limiting middle-distance running performance. Pflügers Archiv - European Journal of Physiology, 467(5), 701–712. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-014-1651-7
7. Midgley, A. W., McNaughton, L. R., & Jones, A. M. (2007). Training to enhance the physiological determinants of long-distance running performance:

Can valid recommendations be given to runners and coaches based on current scientific knowledge? Sports Medicine, 37(10), 857–880. https://doi.org/10.2165/00007256-200737100-00003

8. Paavolainen, L., Häkkinen, K., Hämäläinen, I., Nummela, A., & Rusko, H. (1999). Explosive-strength training improves 5-km running time by improving running economy and muscle power. Journal of Applied Physiology, 86(5), 1527–1533. https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1999.86.5.1527

9. Sandford, G. N., & Stellingwerff, T. (2019). “Question your categories”: The misunderstood complexity of middle-distance running profiles with implications for research methods and application. Frontiers in Sports and Active Living, 1, 28. https://doi.org/10.3389/fspor.2019.00028

10. Seiler, S. (2010). Seiler's hierarchy of endurance training needs and the polarized model. Sportscience, 14, 1–9.